Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—How many technical high schools are to be opened in the period of the Second Five-Year Plan ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲು ಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರೆಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—The question is about the number of technical schools; the answer is in respect of technical high schools. Technical high schools are different from technical schools. I want to know whether the answer is correct.

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—They are polytechnic schools.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know how many polytechnic schools are to be opened during the period of the Second Five-Year Plan ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—I do not know the exact number; about six already sanctioned.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲು ಎಂದರೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಿರುವ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳೇ ನಿವೆ ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಸಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ಒಂದು ಸೆಕ್ಷ್ ಸೇರಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವಕ್ಕೆ ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I take it that the multi-purpose high schools are technical schools ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—No. Multi-purpose high schools are multi-purpose high schools.

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—Where are these polytechnic schools to be opened ?

Sri V. VENKATAPPA.—Dharwar, Chickmagalur, Tumkur, Channapatna, Bidar and Mandya.

Special Police Squad at Belgaum District.

Q.—1646. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether a special police squad has been appointed in the Belgaum District recently to detect the dacoities;

(*b*) if so, the reasons for appointing such a squad;

(*c*) number of dacoities and robberies detected, the number of suspects arrested so far together with the places to which they belong;

(*d*) the property recovered from them;

(*e*) the number of dacoities and robberies that have been prevented by this squad;

(*f*) the expenditure incurred on this squad until now ?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Deputy Minister) [On behalf of SRI C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries)].—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The Special Police Squad was appointed since seven dacoities were reported and firearms were used by the dacoits and the number of these offences was also increasing during the current year.

(*c*) So far four dacoities have been detected and 43 persons in all have been arrested. These persons belong to Hukeri, Yalimonolli, Shirhatti, Masarguppi, Kechari, Nerli, Sankeshwar, Gudiketar Shendur under Nipani Police Station, Shindgi Kurpet (Gokak Taluk), Kapsi under Murgod Police Station in Kolhapur District in Bombay State.

(*d*) Silver ornaments and copper utensils worth of Rs. 41-31 nP. and 2 country made 12 bore Pistols and 5 Cartridges have been recovered.

(*e*) In the month of August 1957, no cases of dacoity were reported in the limits of Hukeri Police Station, as a result of the formation of this Squad.

(*f*) No extra expenditure has been incurred yet.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—May I know with reference to the answer to (*c*), where the four dacoities were committed ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—In all seven dacoities were committed. The places where the offences were committed are Shirahatti, Kechari, Kotbagi, Yalimonolli, Nidishoshi and Kukke.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What was the amount of property that was lost and were there any persons injured and if so how many ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The total property stolen is to be calculated. These are the details of stolen property: silver ornaments and cash Rs. 42.06; another dacoity: Rs. 5,280; another one: Rs. 57·50 and another one: Rs. 1,355.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Of the four which have been detected? Has Government launched any case against any of these dacoits?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Three cases were charge-sheeted and one is still under investigation.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—It is stated in answer to (*e*) that in the month of August 1957 no cases of dacoity were reported. But the answer to this Question was given on 2nd January 1958. May I know whether there were any cases of dacoity reported in the period between the two dates?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am not in possession of that information.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Out of seven cases only four have been detected and of these four, one is still under investigation and in the case of three, charge sheets were placed. What about the fate of the other three cases?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—They were undetected.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—What is the result in the case of the three cases where charge-sheets were placed?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Of the three cases charge-sheeted, one ended in conviction, one in acquittal and the other case is still pending.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—What about the accused? Are they inter-State K.Ds. or local K.Ds.?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Out of the 43 accused, only one belongs to the Bombay State, the rest belong to our State.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—Are they ex-convicts?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have not got that information.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—In answer to (*b*) it is stated that the number of these offences was also increasing during the current year. How many are reported in the current year?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That information is not available.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—It is stated that the offences were on the increase. Then the Special Squad appointed has failed to check it.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—This Special Police party was appointed in July 1957 and discontinued by the end of September. They were functioning for only two months in order to detect these 7 cases.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What was the strength of the Police Squad and what is the actual expenditure incurred on that?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—About the expenditure it is already answered. About the strength, one Sub-Inspector, 4 Head Constables and six Constables.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Has no extra expenditure been incurred on the squad?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—No. The squad was drawn from existing strength of the district.

Failure to take Financial Assistance from the Central Government to Improve the Salaries of the Primary School Teachers.

Q.—1691. Sri K. MALLAPPA (Mercara).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that a statement was made in the Lok Sabha on 26th July 1957 by Dr. K. L. Shrimali, Minister in the Ministry of Education, to the effect that the Government of Mysore failed to take advantage of financial assistance to the extent of 50 per cent of the increased expenditure for improving the salaries of Primary School Teachers during 1956-57;

(*b*) if so, the reasons for the failure to take advantage of the financial assistance thus offered?

A.—Sri V. VENKATAPPA (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*a*).